

Appl. No. 10/693,684
Reply to Office Action dated June 4, 2004
Page 4


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of Claims 2-7. This provides specific limitations as to the positioning of the torque transmitting mechanisms or portions thereof that are not disclosed nor obvious in the prior art cited.

The Examiner has suggested that Tsukamoto has servomechanisms supported in a hub such as boss (10a). The servomechanisms of Tsukamoto are supported on a boss 10a. there is a significant difference between being supported "in" or "on" a portion. The present invention has servo mechanisms supported "in" an end wall or "in" a housing. Tsukamoto does not suggest any structure wherein more than one servomechanism is supported "in" a stationary front wall or stationary housing portion. The only disclosure teaching more than one servomechanism supported in a stationary body is the present teaching, and without this teaching one would not be led to such a conclusion.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance, which is herewith respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


Donald F. Scherer, Attorney
Reg. No. 24,539
810-953-9268